

REMARKS

The Office Action dated August 12, 2009 has been given careful consideration by the applicant. Claims 1-5 and 7-23 remain in the application.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter. In the Office Action, claims 1-5, 7-9 and 18-23 were allowed.

Reconsideration and/or reexamination of the application is hereby respectfully requested by the applicant.

I. The Office Action

The Examiner objected to claim 10 for various informalities. Claims 11-17 were objected to, as they are dependent on claim 10.

Claims 1-5, 7-9 and 18-23 are allowed.

II. Objection of Claims 10-17

The Examiner objected to claim 10 for various informalities. In particular, the Examiner asserts that “validating the second mobile station” and “determining a delivery preference” are not tied to the mobile switching center. It is respectfully requested that this objection be withdrawn for the following reasons. Claim 10 now recites *wherein the validating and the determining are executed within the mobile switching center* as suggested by the Examiner. Therefore, the Examiner’s objection has been cured. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 10 is in condition for allowance, as well as associated dependent claims 11-17.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, it is respectfully submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 1-5 and 7-23) are now in condition for allowance. The foregoing comments do not require unnecessary additional search or examination.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to telephone Alan C. Brandt, at 216.363.9000.

Respectfully submitted,

Fay Sharpe LLP



November 19, 2009
Date

Alan C. Brandt, Reg. No. 50,218
The Halle Building, 5th Floor
1228 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1843
216.363.9000